

Predicate Calculus - Semantics 2/4

Moonzoo Kim
CS Division of EECS Dept.
KAIST

moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr
<http://pswlab.kaist.ac.kr/courses/cs402-07>

Logical equivalence (1/3)

- Def 5.21 Given two closed formulas A_1, A_2 , if $v_{\mathcal{I}}(A_1) = v_{\mathcal{I}}(A_2)$ for **all** interpretation \mathcal{I} , then A_1 is **logically equivalent** to A_2
 - Notation: $A_1 \equiv A_2$
- Let A be a closed formula and U a set of closed formulas. If for all interpretations \mathcal{I} , $v_{\mathcal{I}}(A) = T$ whenever $v_{\mathcal{I}}(A_i) = T$ for all $A_i \in U$, then A is a logical consequence of U
 - Notation: $U \vDash A$
- Thm 5.22 $A \equiv B$ iff $\vDash A \leftrightarrow B$, $U \vDash A$ iff $\vDash (A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n) \rightarrow A$

Logical equivalence (2/3)

$$\forall x A(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg A(x)$$

$$\exists x A(x) \leftrightarrow \neg \forall x \neg A(x)$$

simple but important duality

$$\forall x \forall y A(x, y) \leftrightarrow \forall y \forall x A(x, y)$$

$$\exists x \exists y A(x, y) \leftrightarrow \exists y \exists x A(x, y)$$

$$\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$$

$$(\exists x A(x) \vee B) \leftrightarrow \exists x (A(x) \vee B)$$

$$(\forall x A(x) \vee B) \leftrightarrow \forall x (A(x) \vee B)$$

$$(B \vee \exists x A(x)) \leftrightarrow \exists x (B \vee A(x))$$

$$(B \vee \forall x A(x)) \leftrightarrow \forall x (B \vee A(x))$$

$$(\exists x A(x) \wedge B) \leftrightarrow \exists x (A(x) \wedge B)$$

$$(\forall x A(x) \wedge B) \leftrightarrow \forall x (A(x) \wedge B)$$

$$(B \wedge \exists x A(x)) \leftrightarrow \exists x (B \wedge A(x))$$

$$(B \wedge \forall x A(x)) \leftrightarrow \forall x (B \wedge A(x))$$

$$\forall x (A \rightarrow B(x)) \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow B)$$

$$(\exists x (A(x) \vee B(x))) \leftrightarrow (\exists x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x A(x) \wedge \forall x B(x))$$

$$\forall x A(x) \vee \forall x B(x) \rightarrow \forall x (A(x) \vee B(x))$$

$$\exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x A(x) \wedge \exists x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \leftrightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \leftrightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x A(x) \leftrightarrow \exists x B(x))$$

$$\exists x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$$

$$(\exists x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x)) \rightarrow \forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \forall x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$$

$$\forall x (A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$$

passing quantifier over closed formula

passing quantifiers through \wedge and \vee

passing quantifiers through \rightarrow

Logical equivalence (3/3)

- Find counter examples for converse way
 - $(\forall x A(x) \vee \forall x B(x)) \rightarrow \forall x(A(x) \vee B(x))$
 - $\exists x (A(x) \wedge B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x A(x) \wedge \exists x B(x))$
- Passing quantifiers through implication
 - $\exists x(A(x) \rightarrow B(x)) \equiv \exists x (\neg A(x) \vee B(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg A(x) \vee \exists x B(x)$
 $\equiv \neg \exists x \neg A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x) \equiv \forall x A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x)$
- Ex 5.23 $\models \forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x))$
 - $\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x A(x) \vee \exists x B(x)) \equiv$
 $\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow (\exists x \neg A(x) \rightarrow \exists x B(x)) \equiv$
 $\exists x \neg A(x) \rightarrow (\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x)) \rightarrow \exists x B(x))$
 (note. $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \equiv B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C)$)
 - If $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\exists x \neg A(x)) = F$ or $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x))) = F$, the formula is true.
 - Thus, we need only show $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\exists x B(x)) = T$ whenever $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\exists x \neg A(x)) = T$ and $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\forall x (A(x) \vee B(x))) = T$
 - By Thm 5.15, for some assignment $\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}$, $v_{\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}}(\neg A(x)) = T$ and thus $v_{\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}}(A(x)) = F$. Using Thm 5.15 again, $v_{\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}}(A(x) \vee B(x)) = T$ under all assignments, in particular under $\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}$. Thus, $v_{\sigma'_{\mathcal{I}}}(B(x)) = T$, and using Thm 5.15 yet again, $v_{\mathcal{I}}(\exists x B(x)) = T$.

Necessity of functions

- One can always do without function symbols by using predicate symbols instead
 - note that a function is defined as a relation as a predicate is
- Andy and Paul have the same maternal grandmother (외할머니)
 - $\forall x \forall y \forall u \forall v (M(x,y) \wedge M(y, \text{Andy}) \wedge M(u,v) \wedge M(v, \text{Paul}) \rightarrow x=u)$.
- The function symbols of predicate logic give us a ways of avoiding this ugly encoding, for they allow us to represent y's mother in a more direct way.
 - Instead of writing $M(x,y)$ to mean that x is y's mother, we simply write $m(y)$ to mean y's mother where m is a function symbol
 - $m(m(\text{Andy})) = m(m(\text{Paul}))$

Introduction of function symbols (Sect 7.1)

- Ex 7.1 $(x > y) \rightarrow ((x+1) > (y+1))$ can be written in prefix notation as $>(x,y) \rightarrow >(+(x,1),+(y,1))$. It is interpreted instance of the following formula in the predicate calculus: $p(x,y) \rightarrow p(f(x,a),f(y,a))$ where
 - $>$ is assigned to p , $+$ is assigned to f and 1 to a
- Def 7.2 Let \mathcal{F} be a countable set of function symbols. The following grammar rules define **terms**, a generalization of constants and variables. The rule for atomic_formula is modified to take a term_list as its argument
 - atomic formula
 - term ::= x for any $x \in \mathcal{V}$
 - term ::= a for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$
 - term ::= $f(\text{term_list})$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$
 - term_list ::= term⁺
 - atomic_formula ::= $p(\text{term_list})$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}$
- As with predicate symbols, function symbols have a fixed arity
 - functions are denoted by $\{f,g,h\}$

Functions (1/2)

- Ex 7.3
 - terms: $a, x, f(a,x), f(g(x),y), g(f(a,g(b)))$
 - atomic formulas: $p(a,b), p(x,f(a,x)), q(f(a,a),f(g(x),g(x)))$
- Def 7.4 A term or atom is **ground** iff it contains no variables. A formula is **ground** iff it contains no quantifiers and no variables. A formula A is a ground instance of a quantifier-free formula A iff it can be obtained from A by substituting ground terms for the (free) variables in A
- Def 7.5 Let U be a set of formulas s.t. $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ are all the predicate symbols, $\{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$ are all the function symbols and $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ are all the constant symbols appearing in U . An **interpretation** \mathcal{I} is a 4-tuple
 - $(D, \{R_1, \dots, R_k\}, \{F_1, \dots, F_l\}, \{d_1, \dots, d_m\})$
 - D is a **non-empty** set
 - an assignment of n_i -ary relations R_i **on** D to the n_i -ary predicate symbols p_i
 - an assignment of n_i -ary functions F_i on D to the n_i -ary function symbols f_i
 - Notation: $f_i^{\mathcal{I}} = F_i$
 - an assignment of elements $d_i \in D$ to the constant symbols a_i

Functions (2/2)

- Def 7.6 Given a ground term t , $v_{\mathcal{I}}(t)$, the value of the term in the interpretation \mathcal{I} , is defined by induction:
 - $v_{\mathcal{I}}(a_i) = d_i$
 - $v_{\mathcal{I}}(f_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = F_i(v_{\mathcal{I}}(t_1), \dots, v_{\mathcal{I}}(t_n))$
- $v_{\mathcal{I}}(A)$, the value of a formula, is also defined by induction. For atomic formulas:
 - $v_{\mathcal{I}}(p_i(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = T$ iff $(v_{\mathcal{I}}(t_1), \dots, v_{\mathcal{I}}(t_n)) \in R$
- Ex 7.7 $(\mathcal{Z}, \{\leq\}, +, \{1\}) \models \forall x \forall y (p(x, y) \rightarrow p(f(x, a), f(y, a)))$
 - i.e., $\forall x \forall y ((x \leq y) \rightarrow (x+1 \leq y+1))$
 - However, the formula is **not valid** since it is falsified by the interpretation $(\mathcal{Z}, \{\leq\}, *, \{-1\})$
 - $4 \leq 5$ but $4 * -1 \not\leq 5 * -1$

Example

- For an interpretation $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ where
 - $\mathcal{D} = \{a, b, c\}$
 - $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{Trans}, \text{Final}, \text{Equality}\}$ where
 - $\text{Trans} = \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c), (c, c)\}$
 - $\text{Final} = \{b, c\}$
 - $\text{Equality} = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}$
 - $\mathcal{F} = \{\}$
 - $\mathcal{C} = \{a\}$
- Some formulas for \mathcal{I} where $R^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Trans}$, $F^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Final}$, $=^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Equality}$, $i^{\mathcal{I}} = a$
 - $\mathcal{I} \models \exists y R(i, y)$
 - $\mathcal{I} \models \neg F(i)$
 - $\mathcal{I} \not\models \forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)$
 - $\mathcal{I} \models \forall x \exists y R(x, y)$

