Propositional Calculus
- *Semantics (1/2)*

Moonzoo Kim
CS Division of EECS Dept.
KAIST

moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr
http://pswlab.kaist.ac.kr/courses/cs402-07

Overview

- 2.1 Boolean operators
- 2.2 Propositional formulas
- 2.3 Interpretations

Boolean Operators

- A proposition (*p*, *q*, *r*, …) in a propositional calculus can get a boolean value (i.e. true or false)
- Propositional formula can be built by combining smaller formula with boolean operators such as ¬, Λ, V
- How many different unary boolean operators exist?

p	o_1	o_2	o_3	o_4	…
T	T	T	F	F	
F	T	F	T	F	

- How many different binary boolean operators exist?

Boolean Operators

op	name	symbol	op	name	symbol
o_2	disjunction	$\vee$	o_{15}	nor	$\downarrow$
o_8	conjunction	$\wedge$	o_9	nand	$\uparrow$
o_5	implication	$\rightarrow$	o_{12}		
o_3	reverse implication	$\leftarrow$	o_{14}		
o_7	equivalence	$\leftrightarrow$	o_{10}	exclusive or	$\oplus$

Boolean Operators

- The first five binary operators can all be defined in terms of any one of them plus negation
- Nand or nor by itself is sufficient to define all other operators.
- The choice of an interesting set of operators depends on the application
  - Mathematics is generally interested in one-way logical deduction (given a set of axioms, what do they imply?).
  - So implication together with negation are chosen as the basic operators

Propositional formulas

- Def 2.1 A *formula* in the propositional calculus is a word that can be derived from the following grammar, starting from the initial non-terminal *fml*
  - *fml* ::= *p* for any $p \in P$
  - *fml* ::= $\neg$ *fml*
  - *fml* ::=*fml op fml* where *op* $\in \{ \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftarrow, \leftrightarrow, \downarrow, \uparrow, \oplus \}$
- Each derivation of a formula from a grammar can be represented by a derivation tree that displays the application of the grammar rules to the non-terminals
  - non-terminals: symbols that occur on the left-hand side of a rule
  - terminal: symbols that occur on only the right-hand side of a rule
- From the derivation tree we can obtain a formation tree
  - by replacing an fml non-terminal by the child that is an operator or an atom

Ambiguous representation of formulas



Figure 2.3 Formation tree for $p \rightarrow q \leftrightarrow \neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

Figure 2.4 Another formation tree

Formulas created by a Polish notation

- There will be no ambiguity if the linear sequence of symbols is created by a preorder traversal of the formal tree
  - Visit the root, visit the left subtree, visit the right subrree
- $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow p\ q \rightarrow \neg p\ \neg q$
- $\rightarrow p \leftrightarrow q\ \neg \rightarrow \neg p\ \neg q$
- Polish notation is used in the internal representation of an expression in a computer
  - advantage: the expression can be executed in the linear order the symbols appear

- If we rewrite the first formula from backwards
  - $q\neg\ p\ \neg \rightarrow qp \rightarrow \leftrightarrow$
  - can be directly compiled to the following sequence of instructions

```
Load q
Negate
Load p
Negate
Imply
load q
Load p
Imply
Equiv
```

Other ways to remove ambiguity

- Use parenthesis
- Define precedence and associativity
  - The precedence order
    - $\neg > \wedge > \uparrow > \vee > \downarrow > \rightarrow > \leftrightarrow$
  - Operators are assumed to associate to the right
    - a$\vee$ b$\vee$ c means (a$\vee$(b$\vee$c))

Structural induction

- Theorem 2.5. To show property(A) for all formulas A it suffices to show:
  - property(*p*) for all atoms *p*
  - Assuming property(A), the property($\neg$ A) holds
  - Assuming property(A_1) and property(A_2), then property(A_1 op A_2) hold, for each of the operators op

Interpretations

- Def 2.6 An assignment is a function ν:P $\rightarrow$ {T,F}
  - that is ν assigns one of the truth values T or F to every atom
- Note that an assignment ν can be extended to a function ν:F $\rightarrow$ {T,F}, mapping formulas to truth values by the inductive definition.
  - ν is called an interpretation
- Theorem 2.9 An assignment can be extended to exactly one interpretation

A	$\nu(A_1)$	$\nu(A_2)$	$\nu(A)$
$\neg A_1$	T		F
$\neg A_1$	F		T
$A_1 \vee A_2$	F	F	F
$A_1 \vee A_2$	otherwise		T
$A_1 \wedge A_2$	T	T	T
$A_1 \wedge A_2$	otherwise		F
$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	T	F	F
$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	otherwise		T

A	$\nu(A_1)$	$\nu(A_2)$	$\nu(A)$
$A_1 \uparrow A_2$	T	T	F
$A_1 \uparrow A_2$	otherwise		T
$A_1 \downarrow A_2$	F	F	T
$A_1 \downarrow A_2$	otherwise		F
$A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2$	$\nu(A_1) = \nu(A_2)$		T
$A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2$	$\nu(A_1) \neq \nu(A_2)$		F
$A_1 \oplus A_2$	$\nu(A_1) \neq \nu(A_2)$		T
$A_1 \oplus A_2$	$\nu(A_1) = \nu(A_2)$		F

Figure 2.5 Evaluation of truth values of formulas

Examples

Example 2.7 Let $A = (p \to q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \to \neg p)$, and let v the assignment such that $v(p) = F$ and $v(q) = T$, and $v(p_i) = T$ for all other $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Extend v to an interpretation. The truth value of A can be calculated inductively using Figure 2.5:

$$v(p \to q) = T$$
$$v(\neg q) = F$$
$$v(\neg p) = T$$
$$v(\neg q \to \neg p) = T$$
$$v((p \to q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \to \neg p)) = T.$$

Example 2.8 $v(p \to (q \to p)) = T$ but $v((p \to q) \to p) = F$ under the above interpretation, emphasizing that the linear string $p \to q \to p$ is ambiguous. □

Example 2.12 Let $S = \{p \to q,\ p,\ p \vee s \leftrightarrow s \wedge q\}$, and let v be the assignment given by $v(p) = T$, $v(q) = F$, $v(r) = T$, $v(s) = T$. v is an interpretation for S and assigns the truth values