# Chapter 7 Requirements Engineering

Moonzoo Kim CS Division of EECS Dept. KAIST



# **Requirements Engineering-I**

- Inception—ask a set of questions that establish …
  - basic understanding of the problem (what)
  - the people who want a solution (who)
  - the nature of the solution that is desired, and
  - the effectiveness of preliminary communication and collaboration between the customer and the developer
- Elicitation—elicit requirements from all stakeholders
- Elaboration—create an analysis model that identifies data, function and behavioral requirements
- Negotiation—agree on a deliverable system that is realistic for developers and customers



# **Requirements Engineering-II**

- Specification—can be any one (or more) of the following:
  - A written document
  - A set of models
  - A collection of user scenarios (use-cases)
  - A prototype
- Validation—a review mechanism that looks for
  - Errors in content or interpretation
  - Areas where clarification may be required (ambiguity)
  - Missing information (incomplete requirement)
  - Inconsistencies
    - a major problem when large products or systems are engineered)
  - Unrealistic (unachievable) requirements.
- Requirements management



#### Inception

- Identify stakeholders
  - "who else do you think I should talk to?"
- Recognize multiple points of view
- Work toward collaboration
- The first questions
  - Who is behind the request for this work?
  - Who will use the solution?
  - What will be the economic benefit of a successful solution
  - Is there another source for the solution that you need?



# **Eliciting Requirements**

- meetings are conducted and attended by both software engineers and customers
- an agenda is suggested
- a "facilitator" (can be a customer, a developer, or an outsider) controls the meeting
- a "definition mechanism" (can be work sheets, flip charts, or wall stickers or an electronic bulletin board, chat room or virtual forum) is used
- the goal is
  - to identify the problem
  - propose elements of the solution
  - negotiate different approaches, and
  - specify a preliminary set of solution requirements



# Conducting a Requirements Gathering Meeting (pg188)

#### • The scene:

• A meeting room. The first requirements gathering meeting is in progress.

#### **The players:**

- Jamie Lazar, software team member;
- Vinod Raman, software team member;
- Ed Robbins, software team member;
- Doug Miller, software engineering manager;
- three members of marketing;
- a product engineering representative;
- a facilitator.

- The conversation:
- Facilitator (pointing at white board): So that's the current list of objects and services for the home security function.
- Marketing person: That about covers it from our point of view.
- Vinod: Didn't someone mention that they wanted all *SafeHome* functionality to be accessible via the Internet? That would include the home security function, no?
- Marketing person: Yes, that's right ... we'll have to add that functionality and the appropriate objects.



- Facilitator: Does that also add some constraints?
- Jamie: It does, both technical and legal.
- **Production rep:** Meaning?
- Jamie: We better make sure an outsider can't hack into the system, disarm it, and rob the place or worse. Heavy liability on our part.
- Doug: Very true.
- Marketing: But we still need Internet connectivity . just be sure to stop an outsider from getting in.

- Ed: That's easier said than done and....
- Facilitator (interrupting): I don't want to debate this issue now.
  Let's note it as an action item and proceed. (Doug, serving as the recorder for the meeting, makes an appropriate note.)
- Facilitator: I have a feeling there's still more to consider here.
- (The group spends the next 45 minutes refining and ex-panding the details of the home security function.)



#### **Eliciting Requirements**





#### **Quality Function Deployment**

- Function deployment determines each <u>function</u> required of the system
- Information deployment identifies <u>data</u> objects and events
- Task deployment examines the <u>behavior</u> of the system
- Value analysis determines the relative priority of requirements during each of the three deployments
  - Value should be one that are perceived by the customer



#### **Elicitation Work Products**

- a set of usage scenarios that provide insight into the use of the system or product under different operating conditions.
- any prototypes developed to better define requirements.
- a statement of need and feasibility.
- a bounded statement of scope for the system or product.
- a list of customers, users, and other stakeholders who participated in requirements elicitation
- a description of the system's technical environment.
- a list of requirements (preferably organized by function) and the domain constraints that apply to each.



#### **Use-Cases**

- A collection of user scenarios that describe the thread of usage of a system
- Each scenario is described from the point-of-view of an "actor"—a person or device that interacts with the software in some way
- Each scenario answers the following questions:
  - Who is the primary actor, the secondary actor (s)?
  - What are the actor's goals?
  - What preconditions should exist before the story begins?
  - What main tasks or functions are performed by the actor?
  - What extensions might be considered as the story is described?
  - What variations in the actor's interaction are possible?
  - What system information will the actor acquire, produce, or change?
  - Will the actor have to inform the system about changes in the external environment?
  - What information does the actor desire from the system?
  - Does the actor wish to be informed about unexpected changes?



#### **SafeHome Product**



See 376 pg for more details



#### **Example of Use Case for SafeHome**

- Use-case: InitiateMonitoring
- Primary actor: Homeowner
- Goal in context: To set the system to monitor sensors when the homeowner leaves the house or remains inside
- Preconditions: System has been programmed for a password and to recognize various sensors
- Trigger: The homeowner decides to "set" the system, i.e., to turn on the alarm functions
- Scenario:
  - 1. Homeowner: observes control panel
  - 2. Homeowner:enters password
  - 3. Homeowner: selects "stay" or "away"
  - Homeowner: observes red alarm light to indicate that SafeHome has been armed

- Exceptions:
  - 1a. Control panel is not ready: homeowner checks all sensors to determine which are open; closes them

2a. Password is incorrect

- Priority: Essential, must be implemented
- When available: first increment
- Frequency of use: Many times per day
- Channel to actor: Via control panel interface
- Secondary actors: Support technician
- Channels to secondary actors: support technician: phone line
- Open issues:
  - Do we enforce time limit for password entering?



#### **Use-Case Diagram**





### **Building the Analysis Model**

#### Elements of the analysis model

- Scenario-based elements
  - Functional—processing narratives for software functions
  - Use-case—descriptions of the interaction between an "actor" and the system
- Class-based elements
  - Implied by scenarios
- Behavioral elements
  - State diagram
- Flow-oriented elements
  - Data flow diagram



#### **Class Diagram**

From the SafeHome system ...

| Sensor   |
|--|
| name/id<br>type<br>location<br>area<br>characteristics |
| identify()<br>enable()<br>disable()<br>reconfigure ()  |



#### **State Diagram**



Figure 7.6 Preliminary UML state diagram for a photocopier



# **Negotiating Requirements**

- Identify the key stakeholders
  - These are the people who will be involved in the negotiation
- Determine each of the stakeholders "win conditions"
  - Win conditions are not always obvious
- Negotiate
  - Work toward a set of requirements that lead to "win-win"



# Validating Requirements-I

- Is each requirement consistent with the overall objective for the system/product?
- Have all requirements been specified at the proper level of abstraction? That is, do some requirements provide a level of technical detail that is inappropriate at this stage?
- Is the requirement really necessary or does it represent an add-on feature that may not be essential to the objective of the system?
- Is each requirement bounded and unambiguous?
- Does each requirement have attribution? That is, is a source (generally, a specific individual) noted for each requirement?



### **Validating Requirements-II**

- Do any requirements conflict with other requirements?
- Is each requirement achievable in the technical environment that will house the system or product?
- Is each requirement testable, once implemented?
- Does the requirements model properly reflect the information, function and behavior of the system to be built.
- Has the requirements model been "partitioned" in a way that exposes progressively more detailed information about the system.



# **Specification Guidelines**

| use a layered format that provides increasing detail as the "layers" deepen   |  |
|---|--|
| use consistent graphical notation and apply textual terms consistently (stay away from aliases)   |  |
| be sure to define all acronyms  |  |
| be sure to include a table of contents; ideally, include an index and/or a glossary   |  |
| write in a simple, unambiguous style (see "editing suggestions" on the following pages)   |  |
| always put yourself in the reader's position, "Would<br>I be able to understand this if I wasn't intimately<br>familiar with the system?" |  |



### **Specification Guidelines**

Be on the lookout for persuasive connectors, ask why? keys: certainly, therefore, clearly, obviously, it follows that ...

Watch out for vague terms keys: some, sometimes, often, usually, ordinarily, most, mostly ...

When lists are given, but not completed, be sure all items are understood keys: etc., and so forth, and so on, such as

Be sure stated ranges don't contain unstated assumptions e.g., Valid codes range from 10 to 100. Integer? Real? Hex?

Beware of vague verbs such as handled, rejected, processed, ...

Beware "passive voice" statements e.g., *The parameters are initialized.* By what?

Beware "dangling" pronouns

e.g., The I/O module communicated with the data validation module and its contol flag is set. Whose control flag?



#### **Specification Guidelines**

When a term is explicitly defined in one place, try substituting the definition forother occurrences of the term

When a structure is described in words, draw a picture

When a structure is described with a picture, try to redraw the picture to emphasize different elements of the structure

When symbolic equations are used, try expressing their meaning in words

When a calculation is specified, work at least two examples

Look for statements that imply certainty, then ask for proof keys; always, every, all, none, never

Search behind certainty statements뾟e sure restrictions or limitations are realistic

