CIS department University of Pennsylvania

1

Information Extraction for Run-time Formal Analysis

Moonjoo Kim Advisors: Prof. Kannan and Prof. Lee CIS Department University of Pennsylvania

Outline

•WHY? Motivation: Weaknesses of -Motivation Formal Methods and Testing •WHAT? **Run-time Formal Analysis** -Run-time Formal Analysis •HOW? -High-level: the Monitoring and The MaC architecture Checking (MaC) Architecture -Low-level: a MaC Prototype for Java-MaC Java programs (Java-MaC)

Motivation

- Weaknesses of formal verification and testing
 - -formal verification:
 - gap between an abstract model and the implementation
 - lack of scalability
 - -testing:
 - lack of complete guarantee

Outline

- WHY?
 - Motivation
- WHAT?

-Run-time Formal Analysis

- HOW?
 - High-level: The Monitoring and Checking (MaC) Architecture
 - Low-level: a MaC Prototype for Java programs
- Summary



Run-time Formal Analysis

- Motivation:
 - Run-time correctness is not guaranteed
- The goal of run-time formal analysis
 - to give confidence in the run-time compliance of an execution of a system w.r.t formal requirements
- The analysis validates properties on the *current* execution of application.
- Run-time formal analysis helps user to detect errors and prevent system crash.

Relation Between Execution and Requirements



Program Execution

- A program execution σ is a sequence of states $s_0 s_1 \dots$
 - A state s consists of
 - an environment $\rho_s: V \rightarrow R$
 - a timestamp t_s s.t. $t_{s_i} < t_{s_{i+1}}$
- We may abstract out state information unnecessary to detect requirements.



property p =

3 < y && y < 11 7

Outline

- WHY?
 - Motivation
- WHAT?
 - Run-time Formal Analysis
- HOW?
 - -High-level:
 - the Monitoring and Checking (MaC) Architecture
 - Low-level: a MaC Prototype for Java programs
- Summary



Overview of the MaC Architecture



9/25/2010

Design of the MaC Languages



- Must be able to reason about both time instants and information that holds for a duration of time in a program execution.
- Need temporal operators combining events and conditions in order to reason about traces.

Logical Foundation

- $C ::= c / defined(C) | [E_1, E_2) | \neg C | C_1 \lor C_2 | C_1 \land C_2$
- $E ::= e \mid \operatorname{start}(C) \mid \operatorname{end}(C) \mid E_1 \lor E_2 \mid E_1 \land E_2 \mid$

E when *C*

- conditions interpreted over 3 values
 - true, false and undefined.
- $[\cdot, \cdot)$ pairs a couple of events to define an interval.
- start and end define the events corresponding to the instant when conditions change their value.

The MaC Languages

- Meta Event Definition Language(MEDL)
 - Describes the safety requirements of the system, in terms of conditions that must always be true, and alarms (events) that must never be raised.
 - Target program implementation independent.
- Primitive Event Definition Language (PEDL)
 - Defines primitive events/conditions in terms of program entities
 - Provides primitives to refer to values of variables and to certain points in the execution of the program.
 - Depends on target program implementation

Meta Event Definition Language (MEDL)

- Expresses requirements using the events and conditions
- Expresses the subset of safety languages.
- Describes the *safety requirements* of the system
 - property safeRRC = IC -> GD;
 - alarm violation = start (!safeRRC);
- *Auxiliary variables* may be used to store history.

```
ReqSpec <spec_name>
```

```
/* Import section */
import event <e>;
import condition <c>;
```

```
/*Auxiliary variable */
var int <aux_v>;
```

```
/*Event and condition */
event <e> = ...;
condition <c>= ...;
```

```
/*Property and violation */
property <c> = ...;
alarm <e> = ...;
```

Outline

- WHY?
 - Motivation
- WHAT?
 - Run-time Formal Analysis
- HOW?
 - High-level: The Monitoring and Checking (MaC) Architecture
 - Low-level: a MaC
 Prototype for Java
 programs



• Summary

Java-MaC

- Overview of Java-MaC
- Monitoring Java programs
 - Monitoring objects
 - PEDL for Java
- Static components
 - Instrumentor, PEDL/MEDL compilers
- Run-time components
 - Filter, event recognizer, run-time checker
- Overhead reduction
- Case study

CIS department University of Pennsylvania

The MaC Prototype for Java Programs



Monitoring Objects

- Specifying monitored objects
 - There can be several instances (objects) of the same class.
- Monitoring objects
 - A monitored object can be updated by several references.
- To test references, we need a globally accessible table (*address table*) containing pairs of *addresses* of monitored objects and monitored object *names*
 - Assumption: *no* primary reference to a monitored object is changed



PEDL for Java

- Provides primitives to refer to
 - primitive variables
 - beginnings/endings of methods
- Primitive conditions are constructed from
 - boolean-valued expressions over the monitored variables
 - ex> condition IC = (position == 100);
- Primitive events are constructed from
 - update(x)
 - startM(f)/endM(f)
 - ex>event raiseGate= startM(Gate.gu());

```
MonScr <spec_name>
    /* Export section */
    export event <e>;
    export condition <c>;
```

/* Monitored entities */
monobj <var>;
monmeth <meth>;

```
/* Event and condition*/
event <e> = ...;
condition <c>= ...;
End
```

PEDL for Java (cont.)

- Events can have two attributes time and value
- time(e) gives the time of the last occurrence of event e
 used for expressing temporal properties
- value(e,i) gives the i th value in the tuple of values of e
 - value of update(var) : a tuple containing a current value of var
 - value of startM(f) : a tuple containing parameters of the method f
 - value of endM(f) : a tuple containing parameters and a return value of the method f

Instrumentation

- Java-MaC instruments Java executable code
- Java-MaC instrumentor detects instructions
 - variable updates
 - putstatic/putfield for field variable updates
 - <T>store and iinc for local variable updates
 - execution points
 - instruction located at the beginning of method definition
 - return of method definition
- At the each detected instruction, Java-MaC instrumentor inserts a probe

Sample Probe

Monitoring a field variable Var.val

```
; >> METHOD 8 <<
                         ; >> METHOD 8 <<
.method public run()V
                         .method public run()V
  .limit stack 4
                            .limit stack 7
  .limit locals 2
                            .limit locals 2
                            - - -
  getfield DigitalVar.v I
                            getfield DigitalVar.v I
  putfield Var.val I
                            getstatic mac.filter.Filter.lock Ljava.lang.Object;
                            monitorenter
.end Method
                            dup2
                            ldc "val"
                            invokestatic mac.filter.SendMethods.sendObjMethod(
                               Ljava/lang/Object;ljava/lang/String;)V
                            putfield Var.val I
                            getstatic mac.filter.Filter.lock Ljava.lang.Object;
                            monitorexit
```

.end Method

PEDL/MEDL Compilers

- Compiles PEDL/MEDL scripts into pedl.out/medl.out respectively
- Ex> condition c1 = A.x > 3;

event e1 = start(c1 && A.y < 10);



pedl.out

9/25/2010

Filter

- A filter consists of
 - a communication channel to the event recognizer
 - probes inserted into the target system
 - a *filter thread* which flushes the content of communication buffers to the event recognizer
- Filter uses global lock for consistent snapshot ordering in spite of arbitrary preemption



Instrumented Target Program

Event Recognizer/Run-time Checker

- Event recognizer
 - evaluates pedl.out whenever it receives snapshots from the filter.
 - If an event or a condition changing its value is detected, the event recognizer sends the event or the condition to the run-time checker
- Run-time checker
 - evaluates medl.out whenever it receives events and conditions from the event recognizer.
 - detects a violation defined as alarm or property and raises a signal.

Reduce Overheads

- Less snapshot, less overhead
- Not every snapshot affects requirement properties
 - Evaluates simple expressions to check whether current snapshot may affect requirements





Probe Overhead

- Measure overhead over various frequency of updating a monitored integer variable by the target program
- Value abstraction with 1,50,150,200 *simple expressions* to check



Overall Overhead

- Evaluating expressions of 4 different lengths (1, 50, 100, 150)
- Value abstraction significantly reduces the overhead
- The overhead is mainly due to the object-oriented implementation of pedl.out



Case Study: Routing Protocol Validation

- Ad-hoc On Demand Vector (AODV) routing protocol used in packet radio networks consisting of mobile nodes
- Detect violations of properties such as loop invariant in AODV routing protocol implemented using NS2 simulator [Bhargavan,etc]



Case Study: Routing Protocol Validation (cont.)

- NS2 simulator is used instead of target Java program
- Execution trace containing packets delivered among nodes is analyzed repeatedly with different property descriptions without running the simulation again



Contributions

- Main contribution
 - Confirming the idea that run-time formal analysis can assure a user of the correctness of program execution in a *practical* manner through the implementation of the MaC architecture.
- Technical contributions
 - Rigorous analysis
 - Flexibility
 - Automation
 - Easy of use

Future Works

- Loosen the restriction on monitoring objects
 - Combined approach of instrumenting classfiles and modified Java virtual machine
- Apply value abstraction in more general way to gain the benefit of abstraction broadly
- Real-time extension of Java-MaC
- Application areas