

# **Propositional Calculus**

## **- *Propositional Normal Forms***

Moonzoo Kim  
CS Dept., KAIST

[moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr](mailto:moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr)

# Overview

- Logic in Computer Science 2<sup>nd</sup> ed (by M.Huth and M.Ryan)
  - 1.5.2 Conjunctive normal forms and validity
  - 1.5.3 Horn clauses and satisfiability

# Normal Forms

- Advantages of normal forms
  - A mechanical tool can handle a formula of a normal form easier
  - There are special algorithms to solve **satisfiability** of a formula **very efficiently** if the formula is written in some normal form.
- We will cover two famous normal forms
  - Conjunctive normal form (CNF) and Horn clauses

# Conjunctive Normal Forms and validity

- Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF
  - There exists a deterministic polynomial algorithm to convert a propositional formula into CNF
  - Structural induction over the formula  $\phi$ .
  - Ex. Translate the formula  $\phi$  into CNF  $\phi'$ 
    - $\phi = (\neg p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$
    - $\phi' = (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \vee q)$

# Translation to CNF

- We take 3 steps
  1. Transform  $\phi$  into implication-free formula  $\phi_1$
  2. Transform implication-free  $\phi_1$  into NNF  $\phi_2$
  3. Transform implication-free and NNF  $\phi_2$  into CNF  $\psi$
- This algorithm, called CNF, should satisfy all of the following requirements
  1. CNF terminates for all formulas of propositional logic as input.
  2. For each such input, CNF outputs an equivalent formula.
  3. All output computed by CNF is in CNF.

# Preprocessing Procedures

## ■ IMPL\_FREE

- lies in the de Morgan rules.
- translates away all implications in  $\phi$  by replacing all subformulas of the form  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$  by  $\neg \phi \vee \psi$

## ■ NNF (Negation Normal Form)

- formula that contains only negations of atoms.
  - ex.  $p \wedge \neg q$ , but not  $\neg(p \wedge q)$

# **IMPL\_FREE function**

```
function IMPL_FREE( $\phi$ )
    /* precondition:  $\phi$  propositional formula */
    /* postcondition :  $\phi$  implication free */

begin function
    case
         $\phi$  is a literal : return  $\phi$ 
         $\phi$  is  $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$  : return ( $\neg$ IMPL_FREE ( $\phi_1$ )  $\vee$  IMPL_FREE ( $\phi_2$ ))
         $\phi$  is  $\neg\phi_1$  : return ( $\neg$ IMPL_FREE( $\phi_1$ ))
         $\phi$  is  $\phi_1$  op  $\phi_2$  : return (IMPL_FREE( $\phi_1$ ) op IMPL_FREE( $\phi_2$ ))
    where op is a binary logical operator except  $\rightarrow$ 
    end case
end function
```

# NNF function

**function** NNF( $\phi$ )

/\* precondition:  $\phi$  implication free \*/

/\* postcondition : NNF( $\phi$ ) computes a NNF for  $\phi$  \*/

**begin function**

**case**

$\phi$  is a literal : **return**  $\phi$

$\phi$  is  $\neg\neg \phi_1$  : **return** NNF( $\phi_1$ )

$\phi$  is  $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$  : **return** NNF( $\phi_1$ )  $\wedge$  NNF( $\phi_2$ )

$\phi$  is  $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$  : **return** NNF( $\phi_1$ )  $\vee$  NNF( $\phi_2$ )

$\phi$  is  $\neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)$  : **return** NNF( $\neg\phi_1 \vee \neg\phi_2$ )

$\phi$  is  $\neg(\phi_1 \vee \phi_2)$  : **return** NNF( $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2$ )

**end case**

**end function**

# CNF function

**function** CNF( $\phi$ )

/\* precondition:  $\phi$  implication free and in NNF \*/

/\* postcondition:CNF( $\phi$ ) computes an equivalent CNF for  $\phi$  \*/

**begin function**

**case**

$\phi$  is a literal : **return**  $\phi$

$\phi$  is  $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$  : **return** CNF( $\phi_1$ )  $\wedge$  CNF( $\phi_2$ )

$\phi$  is  $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$  : **return** DISTR(CNF( $\phi_1$ ),CNF( $\phi_2$ ))

**end case**

**end function**

# DISTR function

```
/* ex.  $(\eta_{11} \wedge \eta_{12}) \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}) \equiv (\eta_{11} \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22})) \wedge (\eta_{12} \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}))$  */  
function DISTR( $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ )  
    /* precondition :  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are in CNF */  
    /* postcondition : DISTR( $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$ ) computes a CNF for  $\eta_1 \vee \eta_2$  */  
begin function  
    case  
         $\eta_1$  is  $\eta_{11} \wedge \eta_{12}$  : return DISTR( $\eta_{11}$ ,  $\eta_2$ )  $\wedge$  DISTR( $\eta_{12}$ ,  $\eta_2$ )  
         $\eta_2$  is  $\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}$  : return DISTR( $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_{21}$ )  $\wedge$  DISTR( $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_{22}$ )  
        otherwise (=no conjunctions) : return  $\eta_1 \vee \eta_2$   
    end case  
end function
```

# Example of CNF

- 1. Transform  $\phi = (\neg p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$  into implication-free formula  $\phi_1$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IMPL\_FREE } \phi &= \neg \text{IMPL\_FREE}(\neg p \wedge q) \vee \text{IMPL\_FREE}(p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg((\text{IMPL\_FREE } \neg p) \wedge (\text{IMPL\_FREE } q)) \vee \text{IMPL\_FREE}(p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg((\neg p) \wedge \text{IMPL\_FREE } q) \vee \text{IMPL\_FREE}(p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee \text{IMPL\_FREE}(p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee ((\text{IMPL\_FREE } p) \wedge \text{IMPL\_FREE}(r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \text{IMPL\_FREE}(r \rightarrow q)) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg(\text{IMPL\_FREE } r) \vee (\text{IMPL\_FREE } q))) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee (\text{IMPL\_FREE } q))) \\ &= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)).\end{aligned}$$

# Example of CNF

## ■ 2. Transform implication-free $\phi_1$ into NNF $\phi_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NNF}(\text{IMPL\_FREE } \phi) &= \text{NNF}(\neg(\neg p \wedge q)) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= \text{NNF}(\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (\text{NNF}(\neg\neg p)) \vee (\text{NNF}(\neg q)) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee (\text{NNF}(\neg q))) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee ((\text{NNF } p) \wedge (\text{NNF } (\neg r \vee q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\text{NNF } (\neg r \vee q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge ((\text{NNF } (\neg r)) \vee (\text{NNF } q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee (\text{NNF } q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)).\end{aligned}$$

# Example of CNF

- 3. Transform implication-free and NNF  $\phi_2$  into CNF  $\psi$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CNF}(\text{NNF}(\text{IMPL\_FREE } \phi)) &= \text{CNF}((p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\&= \text{DISTR}(\text{CNF}(p \vee \neg q), \text{CNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\&= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \text{CNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\&= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, p) \wedge \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \neg r \vee q) \\&= (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \neg r \vee q) \\&= (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \vee q).\end{aligned}$$

# Exercise

- Transform the following formula into CNF  
 $\neg(p \rightarrow (\neg(q \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow q))))$

# Horn clauses

- Definition 1.46 A **Horn formula** is a formula  $\phi$  of propositional logic if it can be generated as an instance of  $H$  in this grammar:
  1.  $P ::= \perp \mid \top \mid p$
  2.  $A ::= P \mid P \wedge A$
  3.  $C ::= A \rightarrow P$
  4.  $H ::= C \mid C \wedge H.$
  - Each instance of  $C$  is a **Horn clause**.

# Examples of Horn formulas

## ■ Examples of Horn formulas

- $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow p) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (p \wedge s \rightarrow s)$
- $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\top \rightarrow s)$
- $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13}) \wedge (\top \rightarrow p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp)$ .

## ■ Examples of formulas which are **not** Horn formulas

- $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \neg p) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (p \wedge s \rightarrow s)$
- $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\top \rightarrow s)$
- $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13} \wedge p_{27}) \wedge (\top \wedge p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp)$
- $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13} \wedge p_{27}) \wedge (\top \wedge p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \vee \perp)$

# Horn clauses and satisfiability

- The algorithm for deciding the satisfiability of a Horn formula  $\phi$  maintains a list of all occurrences of type P in  $\phi$  and proceeds like this:
  1. It marks  $\top$  if it occurs in that list.
  2. If there is a conjunct  $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{k_i} \rightarrow P'$  of  $\phi$  such that all  $P_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k_i$  are marked, mark  $P'$  as well and goto 2.  
Otherwise (= there is no conjunct  $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{k_i} \rightarrow P'$  such that all  $P_j$  are marked) goto 3.
  3. If  $\perp$  is marked, print out ‘The Horn formula  $\phi$  is unsatisfiable.’ and stop. Otherwise, goto 4.
  4. Print out ‘The Horn formula  $\phi$  is satisfiable.’ and stop.

# HORN function

**function** HORN( $\phi$ )

/\* precondition:  $\phi$  is Horn formula \*/

/\* postcondition : HORN( $\phi$ ) decides the satisfiability for  $\phi$  \*/

**begin function**

mark all occurrences of  $\top$  in  $\phi$

**while** there is a conjunct  $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{ki} \rightarrow P$  of  $\phi$

such that all  $P_j$  are marked but  $P$  isn't **do**

mark  $P$

**end while**

**if**  $\perp$  is marked **then return** 'unsatisfiable' **else return** 'satisfiable'

**end function**

# Correctness of the HORN algorithm

- The HORN algorithm is deterministic and correct
  - The algorithm terminates on all Horn formulas  $\phi$ , and
  - Its output is always correct.
- Theorem 1.47 the algorithm HORN is correct for the satisfiability decision problem of Horn formulas and has no more than  $n + 1$  cycles in its while statement if  $n$  is the number of atom is in  $\phi$ . In particular, HORN always terminates on correct input.

# Exercise

## Apply HORN algorithm

- $(p \wedge q \wedge w \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (t \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\tau \rightarrow r) \wedge (\tau \rightarrow q)$   
 $\wedge (r \wedge u \rightarrow w) \wedge (u \rightarrow s) \wedge (\tau \rightarrow u)$
- $(p_5 \rightarrow p_{11}) \wedge (p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13}) \wedge (\tau \rightarrow p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp)$