Chapter 14 Testing Tactics

Moonzoo Kim CS Division of EECS Dept. KAIST <u>moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr</u> http://pswlab.kaist.ac.kr/courses/CS350-07



Overview of Ch14. Testing Tactics

- 14.1 Software Testing Fundamentals
- 14.2 Blackbox and White-Box Testing
- 14.3 White-Box Testing
- 14.4 Basis Path Testing
 - Glow Graph Notation
 - Independent Program Paths
 - Deriving Test Cases
 - Graph Matrices
- 14.5 Control Structure Testing
 - Condition Testing
 - Data Flow Testing
 - Loop Testing



Testability

- Operability
 - it operates cleanly
- Observability
 - the results of each test case are readily observed
- Controllability
 - the degree to which testing can be automated and optimized
- Decomposability
 - testing can be targeted
- Simplicity
 - reduce complex architecture and logic to simplify tests
- Stability
 - few changes are requested during testing
- Understandability
 - of the design



- Modular design provides good testability
- Let's think about embedded SW
 - mobile phone software
 - Linux kernel

What is a "Good" Test?

- A good test has a high probability of finding an error
- A good test is **not** redundant.
- A good test should be "best of breed"
- A good test should be neither too simple nor too complex



Designing Unique Tests (pg423)

- The scene:
 - Vinod's cubical.
- The players:
 - Vinod, Ed

members of the *SafeHome* software engineering team.

- The conversation:
- Vinod: So these are the test cases you intend to run for the password validation operation.
- Ed: Yeah, they should cover pretty much all possibilities for the kinds of passwords a user might enter.

- Vinod: So let's see ... you note that the correct password will be 8080, right?
- Ed: Uh huh.
- Vinod: And you specify passwords 1234 and 6789 to test for errors in recognizing invalid passwords?
- Ed: Right, and I also test passwords that are close to the correct password, see ... 8081 and 8180.
- Vinod: Those are okay, but I don't see much point in running both the 1234 and 6789 inputs. They're redundant . . . test the same thing, don't they?



- **Ed:** Well, they're different values.
- Vinod: That's true, but if 1234 doesn't uncover an error ... in other words ... the password validation operation notes that it's an invalid password, it is not likely that 6789 will show us anything new.
- **Ed:** I see what you mean.
- Vinod: I'm not trying to be picky here ... it's just that we have limited time to do testing, so it's a good idea to run tests that have a high likelihood of finding new errors.

Ed: Not a problem ... I'll give this a bit more thought.





OBJECTIVE to uncover errors

CRITERIA in a complete manner

CONSTRAINT with a minimum of effort and time



Software Testing





White-Box Testing



... our goal is to ensure that all statements and conditions have been executed at least once ... (statement coverage, branch coverage, path coverage, etc)



Why Statement/Branch/Path Coverage?

- Iogic errors and incorrect assumptions are inversely proportional to a path's execution probability
- we often <u>believe</u> that a path is not likely to be executed; in fact, reality is often counter intuitive
- typographical errors are random; it's likely that untested paths will contain some



Exhaustive Path Testing





17

Selective Path Testing









Why More than Path Coverage?

- A flow graph does not reflect a real imperative program
 - A state of a real imperative program consists of values of variables while graph theory considers a node as a simple entity

// Only one path exists

// Suppose we use a test case of x=0, and y=0

int adder(int x, int y) { return 0;}

- Most complicated error is caused from loop construct
 - Coverage test does not consider loop
- Therefore, statement/branch/path coverage testing should not be considered as complete test
 - Dijkstra said that testing cannot show the absence of a bug, but a presence of a bug in this sense



Tragic Accidents due to Software Bugs

We need more rigorous and complete analysis methods than testing!!!













Model Checking Basics

- Specify requirement properties and build a system model
 - Similar to a test oracle and a target software under testing (SUT) in testing
- Generate all possible states (containing values of variables) from the model and then check whether given requirement properties are satisfied within the state space



Model Checking Basics (cont.)

- Undergraduate foundational CS classes contribute this area
 - CS204 Discrete mathematics
 - CS300 Algorithm
 - CS320 Programming language
 - CS322 Automata and formal language
 - CS350 Introduction to software engineering
 - CS402 Introduction to computational logic

Model checking techniques can help analyze more than 10¹⁰⁰⁰ test scenarios systematically



An Example of Model Checking ¹/₂ (checking every possible values of variables)



An Example of Model Checking 2/2 (checking every possible thread scheduling)





Counter Example

Model Checking History

1981 1982	Clarke / Emerson: CTL Model Checking Sifakis / Quielle EMC: Explicit Model Checker Clarke, Emerson, Sistla	10 ⁵
1990 1992	Symbolic Model Checking Burch, Clarke, Dill, McMillan SMV: Symbolic Model Verifier McMillan	10 ¹⁰⁰
1998 2000	Bounded Model Checking using SAT Biere, Clarke, Zhu Counterexample-guided Abstraction Refinement Clarke, Grumberg, Jha, Lu, Veith	10 ¹⁰⁰⁰



Model Checking Example: Bubble Sort





Basis Path Testing





First, we compute the cyclomatic complexity:

- number of simple decisions + 1
- number of edge number of node +2
- number of enclosed areas + 1
- In this case, V(G) = 4

V(G) is the upper bound for the # of independent paths for complete coverage

Cyclomatic Complexity

A number of industry studies have indicated that the higher V(G), the higher the probability or errors.





Basis Path Testing





Next, we derive the independent paths: (paths containing a new edge)

Since V(G) = 4, there are four paths

Path 1: 1,2,3,6,7,8 Path 2: 1,2,3,5,7,8 Path 3: 1,2,4,7,8 Path 4: 1,2,4,7,2,4,...7,8

Finally, we derive test cases to exercise these paths.

Using Cyclomatic Complexity (pg428)

The scene:

Shakira's cubicle.

• The players:

Vinod,Shakira

members of the *SafeHome* software engineering team who are working on test planning for the security function.

The conversation:

Shakira: Look ... I know that we should unit test al! the components for the security function, but there are a lot of 'em and if you consider the number of operations that have to be exercised, I don't know ...

maybe we should forget white-box testing, integrate everything, and start running black-box tests.

- Vinod: You figure we don't have enough time to do component tests, exercise the operations, and then integrate?
- Shakira: The deadline for the first increment is getting closer than I'd like ... yeah, I'm concerned.
- Vinod: Why don't you at least run white-box tests on the operations that are likely to be the most error prone?



- Shakira (exasperated): And exactly how do I know which are likely to be the most error prone?
- Vinod: V of G.
- Shakira: Huh?
- Vinod: Cyclomatic complexity--V of G. Just compute V(G) for each of the operations within each of the components and see which have the highest values for V(G). They're the ones that are most likely to be error prone.
- Shakira: And how do I compute V of G?

- Vinod: It's really easy. Here's a book that describes how to do it.
- Shakira (leafing through the pages): Okay, it doesn't look hard.
 I'll give it a try. The ops with the highest V(G) will be the candidates for white-box tests.
- Vinod: Just remember that there are no guarantees. A component with a low V(G) can still be error prone.
- Shakira: Alright. But at least this'll help me to narrow down the number of components that have to undergo white-box testing.



Basis Path Testing Notes



- you don't need a flow chart, but the picture will help when you trace program paths
- count each simple logical test, compound tests count as 2 or more
- basis path testing should be applied to critical modules



Graph Matrices

- A graph matrix is a square matrix whose size (i.e., number of rows and columns) is equal to the number of nodes on a flow graph
- Each row and column corresponds to an identified node, and matrix entries correspond to connections (an edge) between nodes.
- By adding a *link weight* to each matrix entry, the graph matrix can become a powerful tool for evaluating program control structure during testing



Control Structure Testing

- Condition testing
 - a test case design method that exercises the logical conditions contained in a program module
- Data flow testing
 - selects test paths of a program according to the locations of definitions and uses of variables in the program



Data Flow Testing

- For a statement S
 - DEF(S) = {X| statement S contains a definition of X}
 - USE(S) = {X| statement S contains a use of X}
- A definition-use (DU) chain of variable X is of the form [X,S,S'] where S and S' are statement, X is in DEF(S) and USE(S')

 void f() {
 - [x,s1,s3] is a DU chain
 - [y,s1,s3] is NOT a DU chain
- A branch is not guaranteed to be covered by DU testing

```
void f() {
  s1: int x = 10, y;
  s2: if ( ...) {
    ...
  s3: y = x + 1;
  }
```

Loop Testing





Loop Testing: Simple Loops

Minimum conditions—Simple Loops

- 1. skip the loop entirely
- 2. only one pass through the loop
- 3. two passes through the loop
- 4. m passes through the loop m < n
- 5. (n-1), n, and (n+1) passes through the loop

where n is the maximum number of allowable passes



Loop Testing: Nested Loops

Nested Loops

Start at the innermost loop. Set all outer loops to their minimum iteration parameter values.

Test the min+1, typical, max-1 and max for the innermost loop, while holding the outer loops at their minimum values.

Move out one loop and set it up as in step 2, holding all other loops at typical values. Continue this step until the outermost loop has been tested.

Concatenated Loops

If the loops are independent of one another then treat each as a simple loop else* treat as nested loops endif*

for example, the final loop counter value of loop 1 is used to initialize loop 2.



Black-Box Testing





Black-Box Testing

- How is functional validity tested?
- How is system behavior and performance tested?
- What classes of input will make good test cases?
- Is the system particularly sensitive to certain input values?
- How are the boundaries of a data class isolated?
- What data rates and data volume can the system tolerate?
- What effect will specific combinations of data have on system operation?



Graph-Based Methods

To understand the objects that are modeled in software and the relationships that connect these objects

In this context, we consider the term "objects" in the broadest possible context. It encompasses data objects, traditional components (modules), and object-oriented elements of computer software.



CS350 Intro. to SE Spring 2008



Equivalence Partitioning





Sample Equivalence Classes

Valid data



user supplied commands responses to system prompts file names computational data physical parameters bounding values initiation values output data formatting responses to error messages graphical data (e.g., mouse picks)

Invalid data

data outside bounds of the program physically impossible data proper value supplied in wrong place



Boundary Value Analysis





Comparison Testing

- Used only in situations in which the reliability of software is absolutely critical (e.g., human-rated systems)
 - Separate software engineering teams develop independent versions of an application using the same specification
 - Each version can be tested with the same test data to ensure that all provide identical output
 - Then all versions are executed in parallel with real-time comparison of results to ensure consistency



Orthogonal Array Testing

 Used when the number of input parameters is small and the values that each of the parameters may take are clearly bounded



