

Predicate Calculus - Semantics 3/4


Moonzoo Kim
CS Division of EECS Dept.
KAIST

moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr
<http://pswlab.kaist.ac.kr/courses/cs402-07>

Example: finite automata

- For an interpretation $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ where

- $\mathcal{D} = \{a, b, c\}$
- $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{Trans}, \text{Final}, \text{Equality}\}$ where
 - $\text{Trans} = \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c), (c, c)\}$
 - $\text{Final} = \{b, c\}$
 - $\text{Equality} = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}$
- $\mathcal{F} = \{\}$
- $\mathcal{C} = \{a\}$



- Formulas for \mathcal{I} where $R^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Trans}$, $F^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Final}$, $=^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Equality}$, $i^{\mathcal{I}} = a$

- $\mathcal{I} \models \exists y R(i, y)$
- $\mathcal{I} \models \neg F(i)$
- $\mathcal{I} \not\models \forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x, y) \wedge R(x, z) \rightarrow y = z)$
- $\mathcal{I} \models \forall x \exists y R(x, y)$

A formula represents a set of models

- A formula ϕ describes **characteristics of target structures** in a compact way.
 - ex. deterministic automata, partial order sets, binary trees, relational database, etc
- In other words, a formula ϕ designates a set of models (i.e., interpretations) that satisfies ϕ
 - $\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x,y) \wedge R(x,z) \rightarrow y = z)$ represents **all deterministic** graphs
 - $\forall x \forall y \forall z (R(x,y) \wedge R(y,z) \rightarrow R(x,z))$ represents **all transitive** graphs.
- **Validity, satisfiability, and provability** of a predicate formula is all **undecidable**. However, checking formulas on concrete interpretations is practical
 - ex. SQL queries over relational database
 - ex. XQueries over XML documents
 - ex. Model checking of a program





Example: partial order set (POSET)

- Def. \mathcal{U} is a **partially ordered set (poset)** if \mathcal{U} is a model of

- $\forall xyz (p(x,y) \wedge p(y,z) \rightarrow p(x,z))$
- $\forall xy (p(x,y) \wedge p(y,x) \leftrightarrow q(x,y))$

$p^{\mathcal{U}} = \leq, q^{\mathcal{U}} = =$, then

- $\forall xyz (x \leq y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z)$
- $\forall xy (x \leq y \leq x \leftrightarrow x = y)$
- $\mathcal{U}_1 \models \exists x \forall y (x \leq y)$
 - i.e., \mathcal{U}_1 has a least element
- $\mathcal{U}_3 \models \forall x \neg \exists y (x < y)$
 - i.e., in \mathcal{U}_3 no element is strictly less than another element



- Note that $x < y \equiv x \leq y \wedge \neg(x = y)$
- Def. \mathcal{U} is a **totally ordered set** if \mathcal{U} is a poset and $\mathcal{U} \models \forall x \forall y (x \leq y \vee y \leq x)$
- Def. \mathcal{U} is **densely ordered** if $\mathcal{U} \models \forall x \forall y (x < y \rightarrow \exists z (x < z \wedge z < y))$
- We can **distinguish** \mathcal{U}_3 and \mathcal{U}_4 by $A(x) = \forall y (y \neq x \rightarrow \neg(y \leq x) \wedge \neg(x \leq y))$
 - $\mathcal{U}_4 \models \forall x \forall y (A(x) \wedge A(y) \rightarrow x = y)$
 - $\mathcal{U}_3 \models \neg \forall x \forall y (A(x) \wedge A(y) \rightarrow x = y)$

Exercise: POSET (cont.)

- Define formulas for
 - x is the maximum (the largest element in a target domain)
 - $\forall y y \leq x$
 - x is maximal (not smaller than any other elements)
 - $\neg \exists y x < y \equiv \forall y \neg(x < y)$
 - Note the **difference** between $\forall y y \leq x$ and $\forall y \neg(x < y)$.
 - For totally ordered set, these two formulas are same, but for POSET, they are different.
 - There is no element between x and y
 - $\neg \exists z ((x \leq z \wedge z \leq y) \vee (y \leq z \wedge z \leq x))$
 - x is an immediate successor of y
 - $(x > y) \wedge \neg \exists z (y \leq z \wedge z \leq x)$
 - z is the infimum of x and y (the greatest element less than or equal to x and y)
 - $\forall st ((s \leq x \wedge t \leq y) \rightarrow (s \leq z \wedge t \leq z) \wedge (z \leq x \wedge z \leq y))$
- Give a formula ϕ s.t. $\mathcal{U}_2 \models \phi$ and $\mathcal{U}_4 \models \neg \phi$
- Let $\phi = \exists x \forall y (x \leq y \vee y \leq x)$. Find posets \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 s.t. $\mathcal{U}_1 \models \phi$ and $\mathcal{U}_2 \models \neg \phi$

Example: arithmetic

- Def. A Peano structure $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{N}, \{=\}, \{S, +, *\}, \{0\})$ is a model of
 1. $\forall x (\neg (0 = S(x)))$
 2. $\forall x \forall y (S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y)$
 3. $\forall x (x + 0 = x)$
 4. $\forall x \forall y (x + S(y) = S(x + y))$
 5. $\forall x (x * 0 = 0)$
 6. $\forall x \forall y (x * S(y) = x * y + x)$
 7. $\phi(0) \wedge \forall x (\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(S(x))) \rightarrow \forall x \phi(x)$
 - mathematical induction
- These 7 formulas do not have “ \leq ” or “ $<$ ” but these predicate can be expressed by
 - $x < y ::= \exists z (x + S(z) = y)$
 - $x \leq y ::= x < y \vee x = y$
- Example
 - The set of even numbers
 - $E(x) ::= \exists y (x = y + y)$
 - The divisibility relation
 - $x|y ::= \exists z (x * z = y)$
 - The set of prime numbers
 - $P(x) ::= \forall y \forall z (x = y * z \rightarrow y = 1 \vee z = 1) \wedge x \neq 1$