

Homework #1 Solution

2007년 10월 2일

1.

(a) $p \vee \sim (q \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$

$p \vee \sim (q \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$
 $\hookrightarrow p$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow \sim (q \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$
 $\hookrightarrow q, \sim (\sim r \vee q)$
 $\hookrightarrow q, \sim q$ (close)
 $\hookrightarrow q, r$ (open)
 $\sim (p \vee \sim (q \wedge (r \rightarrow q)))$
 $\equiv \sim p \wedge \sim \sim (q \wedge (\sim r \vee q))$
 $\equiv \sim p \wedge (\sim q \vee \sim (\sim r \vee q))$
 $\hookrightarrow \sim p, \sim q$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow \sim p, \sim r \wedge \sim q$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow \sim p, \sim r$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow \sim p, \sim q$ (open)

p	q	r	$p \vee \sim (q \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

\Rightarrow SATISFIABLE but not VALID

(b) $p \wedge q \rightarrow p \vee q$

$p \wedge q \rightarrow p \vee q$
 $\equiv (\sim p \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \vee q)$
 $\hookrightarrow p$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow (\sim p \wedge \sim q) \vee q$
 $\hookrightarrow q$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow \sim p, q$ (open)
 $\sim (p \wedge q \rightarrow p \vee q)$
 $\equiv \sim (\sim p \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \vee q)$
 $\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge \sim (p \vee q)$
 $\equiv (p \wedge q) \wedge (\sim p \wedge \sim q)$
 $\hookrightarrow p, q, \sim p, \sim q$ (close)

p	q	$p \wedge q \rightarrow p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

\Rightarrow VALID

(c) $((p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow \sim p) \rightarrow q$

$((p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow \sim p) \rightarrow q$
 $((\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge p) \vee q$
↳ q (open)
↳ $((\sim p \vee \sim q) \wedge p)$
 ↳ $p, (\sim p \vee \sim q)$
 ↳ $p, \sim p$ (close)
 ↳ $p, \sim q$ (open)

p	q	$((p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow \sim p) \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

$\sim(((p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow \sim p) \rightarrow q)$
 $\sim((p \rightarrow \sim q) \rightarrow \sim q) \vee q$
↳ $\sim(\sim(p \rightarrow \sim q) \vee \sim q)$
 $\equiv (\sim p \vee q) \wedge q$
 ↳ $\sim p, q$ (open)
 ↳ q (open)
↳ q (open)
⇒ SATISFIABLE but not VALID

(d) $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow \sim q)$

$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow \sim q)$
↳ $p \rightarrow q$
 $\equiv \sim p \vee q$
 ↳ $\sim p$ (open)
 ↳ q (open)
↳ $p \rightarrow \sim q$
 $\equiv \sim p \vee \sim q$
 ↳ $\sim p$ (open)
 ↳ $\sim q$ (open)
 $\sim((p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow \sim q))$
 $\equiv \sim(\sim p \vee q) \wedge \sim(\sim p \vee \sim q)$
 $\equiv (p \wedge \sim q) \wedge (p \wedge q)$
↳ $p, \sim q, p, q$ (closed)
⇒ VALID

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow \sim q)$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

(e) $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$

$((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$
 $\equiv \sim(\sim(\sim p \vee q) \vee p) \vee p$
 $\equiv ((\sim p \vee q) \wedge \sim p) \vee p$
 $\hookrightarrow p$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow (\sim p \vee q) \wedge \sim p$
 $\quad \hookrightarrow \sim p$ (open)
 $\quad \hookrightarrow \sim p \wedge q$ (open)

p	q	$((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

$\sim(((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p)$
 $\equiv \sim(\sim((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \vee p)$
 $\equiv ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \wedge \sim p$
 $\equiv (\sim(\sim p \vee q) \vee p) \wedge \sim p$
 $\hookrightarrow \sim(\sim p \vee q), \sim p$
 $\quad \equiv (p \wedge \sim q), \sim p$
 $\quad \hookrightarrow p, \sim q, \sim p$ (closed)
 $\hookrightarrow p, \sim p$ (closed)

\Rightarrow VALID

(f) $(p \vee q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$

$(p \vee q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$
 $\equiv \sim(p \vee q \rightarrow r) \vee ((p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r))$
 $\equiv \sim(\sim(p \vee q) \vee r) \vee (\sim p \vee r) \vee (\sim q \vee r)$
 $\hookrightarrow \sim(\sim(p \vee q) \vee r)$
 $\quad \hookrightarrow (p \vee q), \sim r$
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow \sim r, p$ (open)
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow \sim r, q$ (open)
 $\hookrightarrow (\sim p \vee r) \vee (\sim q \vee r)$
 $\quad \hookrightarrow \sim p \vee r$
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow \sim p$ (open)
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow r$ (open)
 $\quad \hookrightarrow \sim q \vee r$
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow \sim q$ (open)
 $\quad \quad \hookrightarrow r$ (open)

p	q	r	$(p \vee q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

$\sim((p \vee q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r))$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv \sim(\sim(p \vee q \rightarrow r) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r)) \\
&\equiv (p \vee q \rightarrow r) \wedge \sim(p \rightarrow r) \wedge \sim(q \rightarrow r) \\
&\equiv (\sim(p \vee q) \vee r) \wedge (p \wedge \sim r) \wedge (q \wedge \sim r) \\
&\hookrightarrow (\sim p \wedge q) \vee r, p, \sim r, q \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow \sim p, p, \sim r, q \quad (\text{closed}) \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow r, p, \sim r, q \quad (\text{closed})
\end{aligned}$$

⇒ VALID

(g) $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \sim p \rightarrow \sim q$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \sim p \rightarrow \sim q} \\
&\equiv \sim(\sim(p \vee q) \vee (p \vee \sim q)) \\
&\equiv (p \wedge \sim q) \vee (p \vee \sim q) \\
&\hookrightarrow p, (p \vee \sim q) \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow p \quad (\text{open}) \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow p, \sim q \quad (\text{open}) \\
&\hookrightarrow \sim q, p, \sim q \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow \sim q, p \quad (\text{open}) \\
&\quad \hookrightarrow \sim q \quad (\text{open})
\end{aligned}$$

p	q	$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \sim p \rightarrow \sim q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	F
F	F	T

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{\sim((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \sim p \rightarrow \sim q)} \\
&\equiv \sim(\sim(\sim p \vee q) \vee (\sim p \vee \sim q)) \\
&\equiv ((\sim p \vee q) \wedge (\sim p \wedge q)) \\
&\equiv (\sim p \vee q), \sim p, q \\
&\hookrightarrow \sim p, \sim p, q \quad (\text{open}) \\
&\hookrightarrow q, \sim p, q \quad (\text{open})
\end{aligned}$$

⇒ SATISFIABLE but not VALID

2.

The proof is in pp.33-38 of the textbook.

3.

- Original code.

```
void f(unsigned int y) {
    unsigned int x = 1 ;
    x = x + y ;
    if (x == 2)
        x += 1 ;
    else
        x = 2 ;
    assert(x == 2) ;
}
```

- Translate the program into SSA form

```
x0 = 1
x1 = x0 + y ;
if (x1 == 2)
    x2 = x1 + 1
else
    x2 = 2
assert(x2 == 2)
```

- Create a Boolean formula from the SSA representation

$C : (x_0 == 1) \text{ and } (x_1 = x_0 + y_0) \text{ and } (x_1 == 2 \text{ imp } x_2 == x_1 + 1) \text{ and } (x_1 != 2 \text{ imp } x_2 == x_1 + 2)$
 $x_{00} \wedge \neg x_{01} \wedge (x_{10} \leftrightarrow x_{00} \oplus y_{00}) \wedge (x_{11} \leftrightarrow x_{01} \oplus y_{01} \oplus (x_{00} \wedge y_{00}))$
 $\wedge ((\neg x_{10} \wedge x_{11}) \rightarrow x_{20} \leftrightarrow x_{10} \oplus 1 \wedge x_{21} \leftrightarrow x_{11} \oplus 0 \oplus (x_{10} \wedge 1))$
 $\wedge (\neg(x_{10} \wedge x_{11}) \rightarrow x_{20} \leftrightarrow x_{10} \oplus 0 \wedge x_{21} \leftrightarrow x_{11} \oplus 1 \oplus (x_{10} \wedge 0))$

$P : (x_2 == 2)$
 $\neg x_{20} \wedge x_{21}$

$F : C \wedge \neg P$

- Translate the formula F into CNF form

(x₀ == 1)

$x_{00} \wedge \neg x_{01}$

(x₁ = x₀ + y₀)

$$(\neg x_{11} \vee \neg x_{00} \vee \neg y_{00} \vee x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee \neg x_{00} \vee \neg y_{00} \vee \neg x_{01} \vee y_{01}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee x_{00} \vee x_{01} \vee y_{01}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee x_{00} \vee \neg x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee y_{00} \vee x_{01} \vee y_{01}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee y_{00} \vee \neg x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee x_{00} \vee x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee x_{00} \vee \neg x_{01} \vee y_{01}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee y_{00} \vee x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee y_{00} \vee \neg x_{01} \vee y_{01}) \wedge (x_{01} \vee y_{01} \vee \neg x_{00} \vee \neg y_{00} \vee x_{11}) \wedge (\neg x_{01} \vee \neg y_{01} \vee \neg x_{00} \vee \neg y_{00} \vee x_{11})$$

$$x_1 == 2 \text{ imp } x_2 == x_1 + 1$$

$$(x_{10} \vee \neg x_{11} \vee x_{10} \vee x_{20}) \wedge (\neg x_{11} \vee x_{10} \vee x_{21})$$

$$x_1 != 2 \text{ imp } x_2 == 2$$

$$(\neg x_{10} \vee \neg x_{20}) \wedge (\neg x_{10} \vee x_{21}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee \neg x_{20}) \wedge (x_{11} \vee x_{21})$$

$$\neg P(x_2 == 2)$$

$$x_{20} \vee \neg x_{21}$$

- Run MiniSat and capture the result and interpret the result

Above CNF formula was translated into MiniSat input file format as below. The final formula in CNF form has 21 clauses composed with 8 boolean variables.

```
p cnf 8 21
1 0
-2 0
-4 -1 -7 2 -8 0
-4 -1 -7 -2 -8 0
-4 1 2 8 0
-4 1 -2 -8 0
-4 7 2 8 0
-4 7 -2 -8 0
4 1 2 -8 0
4 1 -2 8 0
4 7 2 -8 0
4 7 -2 8 0
2 8 -1 -7 4 0
-2 -8 -1 -7 4 0
3 -4 3 5 0
-4 3 6 0
-3 -5 0
-3 6 0
4 -5
4 6 0
5 -6 0
```

```

Thanks for flying Vim
(hongshin@moonzoo) Tue Oct 02 15:49:54 ~/MiniSAT:
-bash-3.1$ vim hw1.cnf
(hongshin@moonzoo) Tue Oct 02 15:51:08 ~/MiniSAT:
-bash-3.1$ ./MiniSat_v1.14_linux hw1.cnf hw1.result
=====
[MINISAT]
=====
| Conflicts | ORIGINAL | LEARNT | Progress |
|           | Clauses Literals | Limit Clauses Literals Lit/Cl |
=====
|          0 |         11         28 |          3          0          0      nan | 0.000 % |
=====
restarts          : 1
conflicts         : 2          (inf /sec)
decisions         : 5          (inf /sec)
propagations      : 13         (inf /sec)
conflict literals : 2          (0.00 % deleted)
Memory used       : 1.66 MB
CPU time          : 0 s

SATISFIABLE
(hongshin@moonzoo) Tue Oct 02 15:51:21 ~/MiniSAT:
-bash-3.1$

```

MiniSat returns the result file which contains the situation where given formula is satisfied as below.

```
SAT
1 -2 -3 4 5 6 7 -8 0
```

The result shows that the formula is satisfied when x_{00} is true, x_{01} is false, x_{10} is false, x_{11} is true, x_{20} is true, x_{21} is true, y_{00} is true, and y_{01} is false. The interpretation of the result is that the assertion is violated in the case of y being 1. In that case the value of x is 3 when the assertion is met.

4.

I made a program which computes GCD and LCM of two given integers. And the assertion of the program is located at the last line to check whether GCD is always no greater than LCM. The code of the program is below.

```

int main()
{
    int x, y ;
    int a, b, t ;
    int gcd, lcm ;

    scanf("%d", &x) ;
    scanf("%d", &y) ;

    a = x ; b = y ;
    while (b != 0) {
        t = b ;
        b = a % b ;
        a = t ;
    }
}

```

```
}  
gcd = a ;  
lcm = x * y / gcd ;  
  
assert(lcm >= gcd) ;  
}
```

This program was verified by CBMC with 30 numbers of unwinding. The result is below.


```
int x, y ;
int a, b, t ;
int gcd, lcm ;

scanf("%d", &x) ;
scanf("%d", &y) ;
if (x == 0 || y == 0) return 1 ;
a = x ; b = y ;
while (b != 0) {
    t = b ;
    b = a % b ;
    a = t ;
}
gcd = a ;
lcm = x * y / gcd ;

assert(lcm >= gcd) ;
}
```

The result of verification of modified program is below.

```

Counterexample:
Initial State file <built-in> line 8 thread 0
-----
__CPROVER_alloc=(assignment removed)
__CPROVER_alloc_size=(assignment removed)
State 5 file hw1_4.c line 13 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::a=-2147483648 (10000000000000000000000000000000)
State 6 file hw1_4.c line 13 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::b=2 (00000000000000000000000000000010)
State 8 file hw1_4.c line 16 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::t=2 (00000000000000000000000000000010)
State 10 file hw1_4.c line 17 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::b=0 (00000000000000000000000000000000)
State 11 file hw1_4.c line 18 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::a=2 (00000000000000000000000000000010)
State 14 file hw1_4.c line 20 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::gcd=2 (00000000000000000000000000000010)
State 16 file hw1_4.c line 22 function main thread 0
-----
hw1_4::main::1::lcm=0 (00000000000000000000000000000000)

Violated property:
file hw1_4.c line 24 function main
assertion
lcm >= gcd

VERIFICATION FAILED
(hongshin@moonzoo) Mon Oct 01 19:09:15 ~/CBMC:
-bash-3.1$ █

```

The verification result shows that overflow problem was not considered. So I modified the program to limit the range of input value as 0~100.

```

int main()
{
    int x, y ;
    int a, b, t ;
    int gcd, lcm ;

    scanf("%d", &x) ;
    scanf("%d", &y) ;
    if (x <= 0 || y <= 0) return 1 ;
    if (x > 100 || y > 100) return 1 ;
    a = x ; b = y ;
    while (b != 0) {
        t = b ;

```

```
    b = a % b ;  
    a = t ;  
}  
gcd = a ;  
lcm = x * y / gcd ;  
  
assert(lcm >= gcd) ;  
}
```

```
Starting Bounded Model Checking  
**** WARNING: no body for function c::scanf  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 1  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 2  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 3  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 4  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 5  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 6  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 7  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 8  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 9  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 10  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 11  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 12  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 13  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 14  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 15  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 16  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 17  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 18  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 19  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 20  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 21  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 22  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 23  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 24  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 25  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 26  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 27  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 28  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 29  
Unwinding loop 1 iteration 30  
size of program expression: 329 assignments  
Generated 33 claims, 33 remaining  
Passing problem to MiniSAT  
Passing to decision procedure  
Running MiniSAT  
Solving with MiniSAT  
220255 variables, 610457 clauses  
SAT checker: negated claim is UNSATISFIABLE, i.e., holds  
VERIFICATION SUCCESSFUL  
(hongshin@moonzoo) Mon Oct 01 19:11:00 ~/CBMC:  
-bash-3.1$
```

Then, CBMC results that the program was verified successfully.