Temporal Logic - Model Checking

Moonzoo Kim CS Division of EECS Dept. KAIST

moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr http://pswlab.kaist.ac.kr/courses/cs402-07



Mutual exclusion example

- When concurrent processes share a resource, it may be necessary to ensure that they do not have access to the common resource at the same time
 - We need to build a protocol which allows only one process to enter critical section
- Requirement properties
 - Safety:
 - Only one process is in its critical section at anytime
 - Liveness:
 - Whenever any process requests to enter its critical section, it will eventually be permitted to do so
 - Non-blocking:
 - A process can always request to enter its critical section
 - No strict sequencing:
 - processes need not enter their critical section in strict sequence



1st model

We model two processes

- each of which is in
 - non-critical state (n) or
 - trying to enter its critical state
 (t) or
 - critical section (c)
- No self edges
- each process executes like s_2 $n \rightarrow t \rightarrow c \rightarrow n \rightarrow ...$
 - but the two processes interleave with each other
 - only one of the two processes can make a transition at a time (asynchronous interleaving)



1st model for mutual exclusion

- Safety: $s_0 \models G \neg (c_1 \land c_2)$
- Liveness $s_0 \nvDash G(t_1 \rightarrow F c_1)$
 - see $s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow s_3 \rightarrow s_4 \rightarrow s_5 \rightarrow s_3 \dots$
- Non-blocking
 - for every state satisfying n_i, there is a successor satisfying t_i
 - s₀ satisfies this property
 - We cannot express this property in LTL but in CTL
 - Note that LTL specifies that ϕ is satisfied for all paths
- No strict ordering
 - there is a path where c₁ and c₂ do not occur in strict order
 - Complement of this is
 - $= \mathsf{G}(\mathsf{c}_1 \to \mathsf{c}_1 \mathsf{W}(\neg \mathsf{c}_1 \land \underline{\neg \mathsf{c}_1} \underline{\mathsf{W}} \underline{\mathsf{c}_2}))$
 - anytime we get into a c₁ state, either that condition persists indefinitely, or it ends with a non-c₁ state and in that case there is <u>no further c₁ state</u> unless and until we obtain a <u>c₂</u> state



2nd model for mutual exclusion

All 4 properties are satisfied

- Safety
- Liveness
- Non-blocking
- No strict sequencing





NuSMV model checker

- NuSMV programs consist of one or more modules.
 - one of the modules must be called main
- Modules can declare variables and assign to them.
- Assignments usually give the initial value of a variable x (init(x)) and its next value (next(x)) as an expression in terms of the current values of variables.
 - this expression can be non-deterministic
 - denoted by several expressions in braces, or no assignment at all



Example

MODULE main VAR request: boolean; status: {ready,busy}; ASSIGN init(status) := ready; next(status) := case request : busy; 1: {ready,busy}; esac;

LTLSPEC

G(request -> F status=busy)

KAIST Intro. to Logic CS402 Fall 2007

- request is under-specified, i.e., not controlled by the program
 - request is determined (randomly) by external environment
 - thus, whole program works nondeterministically
- Case statement is evaluated top-to-bottom



Modules in NuSMV

- A module is instantiated when a variable having that module name as its type is declared.
- A 3 bit counter increases from 000 to 111 repeatedly
 - Req. property
 - infinitely setting carry-out of most significant bit as 1
- By default, modules in NuSMV are composed synchronously
 - there is a global clock and, each time it ticks, each of the modules executes in parallel
 - By use of the 'process' keyword, it is possible to compose the modules asynchronously

```
MODULE main
VAR
  bit0 : counter_cell(1);
  bit1 : counter_cell(bit0.carry_out);
  bit2 : counter_cell(bit1.carry_out);
SPEC
   G F bit2.carry_out
MODULE counter_cell(carry_in)
VAR
   value : boolean;
ASSIGN
   init(value) := 0;
```

```
init(value) := 0;
next(value) := (value + carry_in) mod 2;
DEFINE
carry_out := value & carry_in;
```

