

Propositional Calculus

- *Propositional Normal Forms*

Moonzoo Kim
CS Dept., KAIST

moonzoo@cs.kaist.ac.kr

Overview

- Logic in Computer Science 2nd ed (by M.Huth and M.Ryan)
 - 1.5.2 Conjunctive normal forms and validity
 - 1.5.3 Horn clauses and satisfiability

Normal Forms

- Advantages of normal forms
 - A mechanical tool can handle a formula of a normal form easier
 - There are special algorithms to solve **satisfiability** of a formula **very efficiently** if the formula is written in some normal form.
- We will cover two famous normal forms
 - **Conjunctive normal form (CNF)** and **Horn clauses**

Conjunctive Normal Forms and validity

- Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF
 - There exists a deterministic polynomial algorithm to convert a propositional formula into CNF
 - Structural induction over the formula ϕ .
 - Ex. Translate the formula ϕ into CNF ϕ'
 - $\phi = (\neg p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$
 - $\phi' = (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \vee q)$

Translation to CNF

- We take 3 steps
 1. Transform ϕ into implication-free formula ϕ_1
 2. Transform implication-free ϕ_1 into NNF ϕ_2
 3. Transform implication-free and NNF ϕ_2 into CNF ψ
- This algorithm, called CNF, should satisfy all of the following requirements
 1. CNF terminates for all formulas of propositional logic as input.
 2. For each such input, CNF outputs an equivalent formula.
 3. All output computed by CNF is in CNF.

Preprocessing Procedures

■ IMPL_FREE

- lies in the de Morgan rules.
- translates away all implications in ϕ by replacing all subformulas of the form $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ by $\neg \phi \vee \psi$

■ NNF (Negation Normal Form)

- formula that contains only negations of atoms.
 - ex. $p \wedge \neg q$, but not $\neg(p \wedge q)$

IMPL_FREE function

function IMPL_FREE(ϕ)

/* precondition: ϕ propositional formula */

/* postcondition : ϕ implication free */

begin function

case

ϕ is a literal : **return** ϕ

ϕ is $\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$: **return** $(\neg \text{IMPL_FREE}(\phi_1) \vee \text{IMPL_FREE}(\phi_2))$

ϕ is $\neg \phi_1$: **return** $(\neg \text{IMPL_FREE}(\phi_1))$

ϕ is $\phi_1 \text{ op } \phi_2$: **return** $(\text{IMPL_FREE}(\phi_1) \text{ op } \text{IMPL_FREE}(\phi_2))$

where op is a binary logical operator except \rightarrow

end case

end function

NNF function

function NNF(ϕ)

/* precondition: ϕ implication free */

/* postcondition : NNF(ϕ) computes a NNF for ϕ */

begin function

case

ϕ is a literal : **return** ϕ

ϕ is $\neg\neg\phi_1$: **return** NNF(ϕ_1)

ϕ is $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$: **return** NNF(ϕ_1) \wedge NNF(ϕ_2)

ϕ is $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$: **return** NNF(ϕ_1) \vee NNF(ϕ_2)

ϕ is $\neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)$: **return** NNF($\neg\phi_1 \vee \neg\phi_2$)

ϕ is $\neg(\phi_1 \vee \phi_2)$: **return** NNF($\neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2$)

end case

end function

CNF function

function CNF(ϕ)

/* precondition: ϕ implication free and in NNF */

/* postcondition: CNF(ϕ) computes an equivalent CNF for ϕ */

begin function

case

ϕ is a literal : **return** ϕ

ϕ is $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$: **return** CNF(ϕ_1) \wedge CNF(ϕ_2)

ϕ is $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$: **return** DISTR(CNF(ϕ_1), CNF(ϕ_2))

end case

end function

DISTR function

/* ex. $(\eta_{11} \wedge \eta_{12}) \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}) \equiv (\eta_{11} \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22})) \wedge (\eta_{12} \vee (\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}))$ */

function DISTR(η_1, η_2)

/* precondition : η_1 and η_2 are in CNF */

/* postcondition : DISTR(η_1, η_2) computes a CNF for $\eta_1 \vee \eta_2$ */

begin function

case

η_1 is $\eta_{11} \wedge \eta_{12}$: **return** DISTR(η_{11}, η_2) \wedge DISTR(η_{12}, η_2)

η_2 is $\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{22}$: **return** DISTR(η_1, η_{21}) \wedge DISTR(η_1, η_{22})

otherwise (=no conjunctions) : **return** $\eta_1 \vee \eta_2$

end case

end function

Example of CNF

- 1. Transform $\phi = (\neg p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q))$ into implication-free formula ϕ_1

$$\begin{aligned}\text{IMPL_FREE } \phi &= \neg \text{IMPL_FREE } (\neg p \wedge q) \vee \text{IMPL_FREE } (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg((\text{IMPL_FREE } \neg p) \wedge (\text{IMPL_FREE } q)) \vee \text{IMPL_FREE } (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg((\neg p) \wedge \text{IMPL_FREE } q) \vee \text{IMPL_FREE } (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee \text{IMPL_FREE } (p \wedge (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee ((\text{IMPL_FREE } p) \wedge \text{IMPL_FREE } (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge \text{IMPL_FREE } (r \rightarrow q)) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg(\text{IMPL_FREE } r) \vee (\text{IMPL_FREE } q))) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee (\text{IMPL_FREE } q))) \\&= \neg(\neg p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)).\end{aligned}$$

Example of CNF

■ 2. Transform implication-free ϕ_1 into NNF ϕ_2

$$\begin{aligned}\text{NNF}(\text{IMPL_FREE } \phi) &= \text{NNF}(\neg(\neg p \wedge q)) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= \text{NNF}(\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (\text{NNF}(\neg\neg p)) \vee (\text{NNF}(\neg q)) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee (\text{NNF}(\neg q))) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee \text{NNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee ((\text{NNF } p) \wedge (\text{NNF}(\neg r \vee q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\text{NNF}(\neg r \vee q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge ((\text{NNF}(\neg r)) \vee (\text{NNF } q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee (\text{NNF } q))) \\&= (p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)).\end{aligned}$$

Example of CNF

- 3. Transform implication-free and NNF ϕ_2 into CNF ψ

$$\begin{aligned}\text{CNF}(\text{NNF}(\text{IMPL_FREE } \phi)) &= \text{CNF}((p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\ &= \text{DISTR}(\text{CNF}(p \vee \neg q), \text{CNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\ &= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \text{CNF}(p \wedge (\neg r \vee q))) \\ &= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, p \wedge (\neg r \vee q)) \\ &= \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, p) \wedge \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \neg r \vee q) \\ &= (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge \text{DISTR}(p \vee \neg q, \neg r \vee q) \\ &= (p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge (p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r \vee q).\end{aligned}$$

Exercise

- Transform the following formula into CNF
 $\neg (p \rightarrow (\neg (q \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow q))))$

Horn clauses

- Definition 1.46 A **Horn formula** is a formula ϕ of propositional logic if it can be generated as an instance of H in this grammar:
 1. $P ::= \perp \mid \top \mid p$
 2. $A ::= P \mid P \wedge A$
 3. $C ::= A \rightarrow P$
 4. $H ::= C \mid C \wedge H.$
 - Each instance of C is a **Horn clause**.

Examples of Horn formulas

- Examples of Horn formulas
 - $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow p) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (p \wedge s \rightarrow s)$
 - $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\top \rightarrow s)$
 - $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13}) \wedge (\top \rightarrow p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp).$
- Examples of formulas which are **not** Horn formulas
 - $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \neg p) \wedge (q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (p \wedge s \rightarrow s)$
 - $(p \wedge q \wedge s \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r \rightarrow p) \wedge (\top \rightarrow s)$
 - $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13} \wedge p_{27}) \wedge (\top \wedge p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp)$
 - $(p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13} \wedge p_{27}) \wedge (\top \wedge p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \vee \perp)$

Horn clauses and satisfiability

- The algorithm for deciding the satisfiability of a Horn formula ϕ maintains a list of all occurrences of type P in ϕ and proceeds like this:
 1. It marks \top if it occurs in that list.
 2. If there is a conjunct $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{ki} \rightarrow P'$ of ϕ such that all P_j with $1 \leq j \leq k_i$ are marked, mark P' as well and goto 2. Otherwise (= there is no conjunct $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{ki} \rightarrow P'$ such that all P_j are marked) goto 3.
 3. If \perp is marked, print out 'The Horn formula ϕ is unsatisfiable.' and stop. Otherwise, goto 4.
 4. Print out 'The Horn formula ϕ is satisfiable.' and stop.

HORN function

function HORN(ϕ)

/* precondition: ϕ is Horn formula */

/* postcondition : HORN(ϕ) decides the satisfiability for ϕ */

begin function

mark all occurrences of \top in ϕ

while there is a conjunct $P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \dots \wedge P_{ki} \rightarrow P'$ of ϕ

such that all P_j are marked but P' isn't **do**

mark P'

end while

if \perp is marked **then return** 'unsatisfiable' **else return** 'satisfiable'

end function

Correctness of the HORN algorithm

- The HORN algorithm is deterministic and correct
 - The algorithm terminates on all Horn formulas ϕ , and
 - Its output is always correct.
- Theorem 1.47 the algorithm HORN is correct for the satisfiability decision problem of Horn formulas and has no more than $n + 1$ cycles in its while statement if n is the number of atom is in ϕ . In particular, HORN always terminates on correct input.

Exercise

Apply HORN algorithm

$$\blacksquare (p \wedge q \wedge w \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (t \rightarrow \perp) \wedge (r \rightarrow p) \wedge (T \rightarrow r) \wedge (T \rightarrow q) \\ \wedge (r \wedge u \rightarrow w) \wedge (u \rightarrow s) \wedge (T \rightarrow u)$$

$$\blacksquare (p_5 \rightarrow p_{11}) \wedge (p_2 \wedge p_3 \wedge p_5 \rightarrow p_{13}) \wedge (T \rightarrow p_5) \wedge (p_5 \wedge p_{11} \rightarrow \perp)$$